IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

United States District Court Southern District of New York Related Case Statement

Full Caption of Later Filed Case:

ΤK

JULIAN SANCTON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff Case Number

VS.

OPENAI, INC., OPENAI GP, LLC, OPENAI, LLC, OPENAI OPCO LLC, OPENAI GLOBAL LLC, OAI CORPORATION, LLC, OPENAI HOLDINGS, LLC, and MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant

Full Caption of Earlier Filed Case:

(including in bankruptcy appeals the relevant adversary proceeding)

AUTHORS GUILD, DAVID BALDACCI, MARY BLY, MICHAEL CONNELLY, SYLVIA DAY, JONATHAN FRANZEN, JOHN GRISHAM, ELIN HILDERBRAND, CHRISTINA BAKER KLINE, MAYA SHANBHAG LANG, VICTOR LAVALLE, GEORGE R.R. MARTIN, JODI PICOULT, DOUGLAS PRESTON, ROXANA ROBINSON, GEORGE SAUNDERS, SCOTT TUROW, and RACHEL VAIL, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff Case Number

1:23-cv-08292-SHS

OPENAI INC., OPENAI LP, OPENAI LLC, OPENAI GP LLC, OPENAI OPCO LLC, OPENAI GLOBAL LLC, OAI CORPORATION LLC, OPENAI HOLDINGS LLC, OPENAI STARTUP FUND I LP, OPENAI STARTUP FUND GP I LLC, and OPENAI STARTUP FUND MANAGEMENT LLC,

VS.

Defendant

IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

Status of E	arlier Filed	Case:	
	Closed	(If so, set forth the procedure which resulted in dismissal, settlement, court decision. Also, st pending.)	
	Open	(If so, set forth procedural status and summa	rize any court rulings.)
fiction authors registered co	s. The compl pyrights in wr	right infringement against OpenAl was filed on aint alleges that OpenAl committed "flagrant a ritten works of fiction." See ECF 1 at 2, 23-cv-0 eduled for November 29, 2023 at 3:30 PM. See	nd harmful infringements of Plaintiffs' 08292 (Sept. 19, 2023). An initial
Explain in earlier filed		easons for your position that the newl	y filed case is related to the
In both suits, the central claims challenge the use of Plaintiffs' copyrighted material to train Al language models. Both cases name various OpenAl entities as defendants. The basic legal theories, causes of action, sources of liability, relief requested, basis of jurisdiction, and factual allegations regarding Defendants' conduct advanced in both complaints are also similar. One of the primary differences is that the newly filed suit is brought on behalf of a class of copyright holders in written works of nonfiction, while the Plaintiffs in the earlier-filed suit are copyright holders in written works of fiction.			
	/s/ J. Cr	raig Smyser	11/21/2023
Signature:			Date:
Firm:	Susman G	Godfrey LLP	